Special Committee on Judicial Election Campaign Intervention Public Statement

Pursuant to Canon 5F(3)(d) of the Code of Judicial Conduct, the Special Committee on Judicial Election Campaign Intervention herein releases the following public statement:

In Opinion 2018-26, the Special Committee considered a complaint that judicial candidate Kelly Mims was in violation of Code of Judicial Conduct and ordered certain action by Mims and his committee.

The Special Committee has been advised of candidate Mims' efforts to comply with Opinion 2018-26, and the Special Committee is of the opinion that he has made a good faith effort to remove any sign that has this language or to replace the signs with the appropriate language.

The Special Committee has further determined, pursuant to Canon 5(F)(3)(e)(i), that Opinion 2018-26 should be released to the public and it should be clear to all voters that Mims currently holds a position as a Municipal Judge and is not the "Circuit Court Judge."

Special Committee Member Tom Wicker recused and did not participate in this decision.

This Public Statement represents the Special Committee's decision on all pending complaints. It will be immediately released to Mississippi media outlets.

Any questions should be in writing and directed to:

Special Committee on Judicial Election Campaign Intervention

Attn: Darlene Ballard Executive Director Mississippi Commission on Judicial Performance 660 North Street, Suite 104 Jackson, MS 39202 Telephone: (601) 359-1273 • Fax: (601) 354-6277

Telephone: (601) 359-1273 • Fax: (601) 354-6277 Email: Ballard@judicialperformance.ms.gov

Special Committee on Judicial Election Campaign Intervention 2018 Judicial Elections

2018-26 Opinion

The Special Committee received a complaint against judicial candidate Kelly Mims.

I. Allegations of Complaint

The complaint alleges that Mims has campaigned using the title "Judge" on his signs, on Facebook, in the newspaper, which the candidate has to provide the photograph to be used, on push cards, mail outs, billboards and has had himself introduced at speaking engagements as "Judge Kelly Mims." These are all done without clear explanation, or in some cases, no explanation or clear identification that he is not a Circuit Court Judge but is a municipal judge. Kelly Mims has also posted pictures on his campaign website and Facebook page, along with appearing in a robe seated at the Circuit Court bench without identifying that he is a City Judge and not a Circuit Judge.

The complaint alleges that Mims has a Facebook page titled "Judge Kelly Mims," and includes copies of several campaign materials:

- a. A May 15th Facebook post that states "Let me continue to serve as your next circuit court judge."
- b. Signs that read "Judge Kelly Mims."
- c. A photograph of Mims in a robe seated on a courtroom bench.
- d. A September 25th Facebook post that includes a sample ballot with Mims' name marked, and the statements:
 - Military Service
 - Judicial Experience
 - Family Values
- e. Several Facebook posts from "Judge Kelly Mims."

The complaint alleges that Mims is in violation of Code of Judicial Conduct Canon 5A(3)(d)(iii) which prohibits a candidate for judicial office from knowingly misrepresenting his "qualifications, present position, or other fact concerning the candidate." And, the complaint alleges that Mims has blatantly disregarded the Code of Judicial Conduct and the rulings of the Special Committee.

Specifically, the complaint alleges that Mims is in violation of Special Committee Opinions 2018-06, 2018-09, and 2018-17.

II. Candidate's Response

In response, Mims argues that the May 15th Facebook post was a photo of me in uniform returning from the war. My campaign is based on service. Service as a Judge, Service as a Deacon in Church, Service as a Soldier. This photo caption reads "Let me continue to serve as your next Circuit Court Judge." Clearly the word "Next" indicates to the audience that I am asking to be the "next" Circuit Court Judge. If I had said, let me continue to serve as "circuit court Judge" it would be a problem. The photo is of a man who has served his country and now wants to serve "as your next Circuit Court Judge."

III. Findings.

A. May 15th Facebook Post

The Special Committee has considered the May 15th Facebook post. The Special Committee is concerned that the candidate's statement "Let me continue to serve as your next Circuit Court Judge" may be interpreted in such a manner as to be confusing to voters. Canon 5A(3)(d)(iii) prohibits a candidate for judicial office from knowingly misrepresenting his "qualifications, present position, or other fact concerning the candidate." However, the candidate has confirmed to the Special Committee that this statement will not be used again in this election.

Therefore, due to the length of the delay between the post and the complaint and the commitment from the candidate, the Special Committee has determined that it will take no action on this complaint.

B. Use of "Judge Kelly Mims" on Facebook and Campaign Materials

The 2018 Special Committee and prior Special Committees have instructed judicial candidates who hold another judicial office as to how the candidate and his/her committee may properly use the title "judge" in campaign materials.

In Opinion 2006-002, the Special Committee opined:

Canon 5A(3)(d)(iii) prohibits a candidate from knowingly misrepresenting their qualifications or present position. The Special Committee is of the opinion that a candidate who holds another judicial office may use the title "Judge" in campaign materials subject to certain limitations. The campaign

material must clearly identify the circumstances justifying use of the title, including identifying the judgeship currently held. The use of the title cannot be misleading, cannot misrepresent the candidate's present position, and must make it clear to the voting public that the candidate is not a judge of the court for which the candidate is currently seeking election.

This ruling was reaffirmed in a 2006 Public Statement, Opinion 2014-01, a 2014 Public Statement, Opinion 2018-06, and Opinion 2018-09. Indeed, the Special Committee has reaffirmed the statement in Opinion 2010-02 that "[t]he use of the title ["judge"] cannot be misleading, cannot misrepresent the candidate's present position, and must make clear to the voting public that the candidate is not a judge of the court for which the candidate is currently seeking election."

The Special Committee has reviewed the campaign materials submitted in the complaint, the response provided by Mims, and the campaign Facebook page. The Special Committee finds that Mims's use of the phrase "Judge Kelly Mims" on his Facebook page, campaign signs, and campaign materials is in a violation of Canon 5A(3)(d)(iii) of the Code of Judicial Conduct which provides that "[a] candidate for judicial office shall not knowingly misrepresent the identity, qualifications, present position or other fact concerning the candidate. . . ." and the Special Committee opinions cited above.

The Special Committee orders the candidate and his committee immediately cease and desist the use of the phrase "Judge Kelly Mims" on Facebook and campaign materials. The candidate has already changed his Facebook page to remove this statement. The Special Committee orders that the candidate and his committee have until Wednesday, November 21, 2018 at 5:00 p.m. to remove any sign that has this language or to replace the signs or materials with the appropriate language.

The Special Committee instructs the candidate and his committee that the phrase "Judge Kelly Mims" may only be used if the such materials clearly identify the circumstances justifying the use of the title and identify the proper title of the position actually held.

C. Use of Photograph in Judicial Robe

In Opinion 2018-17, the Special Committee issued an opinion as to "whether a current municipal judge, who is running for chancery judge, may film a commercial with the Judge in a robe on the bench when court is not in session." The Special Committee cited Opinion 2014-01 and concluded that "a current municipal judge, who is running for chancery court judge, may film a commercial that depicts the candidate in a judicial robe

if, as required by Canon 5A(3)(d)(iii), the candidate clearly explains the judicial role of the position the candidate held."

The Special Committee also finds that the candidate and his committee have failed to comply with Opinions 2018-17 and 2014-01 because the use of the candidate's photograph in a judicial robe does not "clearly explains the judicial role of the position the candidate held." On the Facebook page, there are two photographs of the candidate in a judicial role. If the viewer clicks on one photograph, it simply says he is a "Judge" in "Plantersville, MS;" "Guntown (Pro Tem);" and "Shannon (Pro Tem)." There is no explanation that he is a "Municipal" Judge. If the viewer clicks on the second photograph, the viewer must read a significant amount of information before it is explained that the candidate is in fact a "Municipal" Judge.

The Special Committee orders that the candidate and his committee immediately cease and desist the use of any photographs of the candidate in a judicial robe or with the title Judge without a clear explanation of the judicial role of the position held. The candidate must be identified as a Municipal Judge as part of any photograph or in a caption immediately below the photograph.

Special Committee Member Tom Wicker recused from this opinion and did not participate.

This opinion is limited to the scope and authority of the Special Committee under the Mississippi Code of Judicial Conduct.

Any questions should be in writing and directed to:

Special Committee on Judicial Election Campaign Intervention

Attn: Darlene Ballard
Executive Director
Mississippi Commission on Judicial Performance
660 North Street, Suite 104
Jackson, MS 39202

Telephone: (601) 359-1273 • Fax: (601) 354-6277 Email: Ballard@judicialperformance.ms.gov